

Measures for speed management

Summary

Measures for speed management are essential for limiting the negative effects of driving too fast and at inappropriate speeds. To begin with, safe and credible speed limits need to be determined. Dynamic speed limits that take into account the actual current circumstances, such as weather conditions and traffic situation, can be of use here. In addition, it is important that road users always know the local speed limit. Increasingly, this can be achieved by in-vehicle information. Where necessary, local infrastructural measures such as speed humps must be used to enforce a safe speed. These infrastructural measures require a logical location and good implementation. This approach will put a stop to most unintentional speeding offences. Strict police enforcement remains essential for the group of motorists that deliberately drive too fast. Public information campaigns and education should accompany all these measures and, in a more general sense, inform road users about the importance of speed management. In the somewhat more distant future, a large effect is expected of Intelligent Speed Assistance (ISA).

Background and content

Driving speed is an important road safety factor. There is a strong relation between speed on the one hand and crash rate and injury severity on the other (see SWOV fact sheet [The relation between speed and crashes](#)). Furthermore, driving speed also influences accessibility, the environment, and quality of life (OECD/ECMT, 2006). Thus, speed control is important for a variety of reasons, and we shall continuously need to find a good balance between interests that are not always harmonious. This fact sheet discusses the general concept of speed management of motorized vehicles, with the emphasis on road safety. Specific groups, such as motorcycles, delivery vans, coaches, lorries, or cars with trailers, will not be discussed. The following instruments for speed management and the relations between them will be discussed in this fact sheet:

- (Safe and credible) speed limits;
- Good information about the local speed limit;
- Infrastructural measures;
- Police surveillance and enforcement;
- Education and information;
- Intelligent Speed Assistance (ISA).

How do we determine the correct speed limit?

Speed limits are the foundation of all speed policy; they are the maximum speeds which are allowed and possible on certain roads. If all motorists were to keep to the speed limit, it has been calculated that there would be a 25 to 30% decrease in the number of injuries (Oei, 2001).

The current limits

Speed limits were first introduced in the Netherlands in 1957. From then on there was an urban speed limit of 50 km/h. Not until more than 15 years later, in 1974, speed limits were also set for roads outside urban areas: 100 km/h on motorways and 80 km/h on rural roads.

The Dutch general speed limits are legally laid down in the Road Traffic Act. These limits are:

- 120 km/h on motorways;
- 100 km/h on trunk roads;
- 80 km/h on other rural roads; and
- 50 km/h on urban roads.

According to the Ministry of Transport's Administrative Provisions Decree, specific exceptions are possible. Possible exceptions are, for example, 30 or 70 km/h on urban roads, 60 km/h on rural roads, and 100 or 80 km/h on motorways. The road authority then determines which limit applies where through a traffic order. The Administrative Provisions Decree further states that "the speed limits

should be appropriate to the local road conditions. This means that, where necessary, the road environment is adapted in such a way that the intended speed is indicated by the nature and design of the road concerned and its surroundings".

Towards safe and credible speed limits

Speed limits should primarily indicate a safe speed. The safe speed depends on the function of the road and thus on the traffic composition. Where motorized traffic mixes with pedestrians, cyclists, and moped riders, the speed limit must be low. In principle, the same applies for roads with a relatively large volume of heavy vehicles. The possibility or impossibility of certain conflicts, for instance lateral or frontal conflicts, also is an indicator of safe speed. *Table 1* shows the safe speeds for a number of road types and potential conflicts. They are defined in *Advancing Sustainable Safety* (Wegman & Aarts, 2006) based on knowledge of the vulnerability of the human body (biomechanical tolerance) and on the Swedish Vision Zero approach.

	Safe speed
Roads with possible conflicts between cars and unprotected road users	30 km/h
Intersections with possible lateral conflicts between cars	50 km/h
Roads with possible frontal conflicts between cars	70 km/h
Roads on which frontal and flank conflicts with other road users are impossible	≥ 100 km/h

Table 1. *Safe speeds for a number of road types and their potential conflicts.*

Not only must the speed limit be safe, it must also be credible. This means that the speed limit meets the expectations evoked by the road image which is defined by the road's features and its surroundings (Van Schagen et al., 2004). Research has shown that it is possible to identify specific characteristics of road and environment that influence credibility, and that drivers are more inclined to keep to credible limits rather than to incredible limits (see SWOV fact sheet [Towards credible speed limits](#)). If a speed limit does not match the road image, either the limit or the design should be changed. This principle is not new, but in practice there is still a lot of room for improvement. Especially at transitions there is still a lot to be gained. According to the principle of credibility, a change in the limit should always be accompanied by a change in road image. On the basis of, among other things, these ideas on safe and credible limits, SWOV, together with some provinces and a consultancy company, has developed an instrument which can assist road authorities in analyzing issues related to safe and credible speed limits, and which offers suggestions for concrete decisions on the measures that can be taken to achieve it. This instrument has now been applied to several provincial road networks (Aarts & Van Nes, 2007).

Dynamic speed limits

At this moment, the majority of speed limits in the Netherlands are static. They do not allow for adapting to the actual current circumstances, while these circumstances are a main determinant of a safe speed. Dynamic speed limits do allow for real-time circumstances such as the weather and the traffic situation. That is why dynamic limits will usually be more credible than static limits.

The Netherlands already has dynamic limits, albeit to a limited extent. For example, on motorways with matrix road signs the speed limit is adjusted to the circumstances, for instance when there are congestions or extremely large traffic volumes. They are also used at road works on motorways, often beside the ordinary static limits. Depending on the local conditions, the speed limit in this situation is either 70 or 90 km/h. One of the criteria used for setting the limit at 70 km/h is that road workers must be actually working at that time. In 2007, the Dutch Ministry of Transport started experiments with dynamic speed limits on some motorways to learn about the effects on safety, traffic flow and environment. The first results (Wilmink, Schreuder & Stoelhorst, 2010) show that dynamic speed limits can help to improve these and that road users appreciate the dynamic speed limits and actually adjust their behaviour. The results do not show any negative side effects. In a completely dynamic speed limit system the limit at that place and at that time will probably be indicated in the vehicle. However, before this can be accomplished, not only do some necessary technical details have to be developed, but also some crucial questions need to be answered. One of the most important questions is which speed limit under which circumstances can guarantee an acceptable level of safety.

How does the driver know the speed limit?

As mentioned before, the road authority first has to determine which speed limit applies at a location. Then, of course, it is important that the road users know the speed limit at any location and at any time. Every lack of clarity must be eliminated, but in practice this is not always the case. Information about the local limit is currently often indicated by a road sign. However, general limits are not communicated by road signs; the road user is supposed to know them. In addition, it is possible to consistently use road markings as, for example, is pleaded for in 'Essential Recognizability Features' (see also SWOV fact sheet [Recognizable road design](#)). Increasingly, in-vehicle information will be used, often linked to a navigation system.

What can we achieve with infrastructural measures?

Intersections and road sections

Where needed, the road design and the infrastructure must physically support the speed limit. At places where a low speed is very important, e.g. in the vicinity of schools, pedestrian crossings and cyclist crossings, but also at intersections, physical speed limiters can be used. In this manner motorists will be forced to lower their speed. At intersections on distributor roads, mainly roundabouts and sometimes raised junctions are placed in order to establish a speed reduction. At access roads, especially within the urban area, speed humps are used to physically reduce speed at intersections. In general, the speed humps are located just before the crossing area. On urban access roads, axis offsets and road narrowing are also used to limit speed. For all physical speed reducing measures, it is important that the location is logical and that the geometric design is consistent with the purpose. For guidelines, see, for example, CROW (2004).

Urban borders and other 'transitions'

The motorist often insufficiently adapts his/her speed, especially in the transition from a high speed limit to a lower speed limit. One of the reasons lies in the fact that after driving at a high speed for a long time, the driver, at a given moment, underestimates the speed and does not adjust it sufficiently. This phenomenon occurs, for example, when going over to a lower order road with a lower speed limit after having driven on a motorway for a long time (see also the fact sheet [Speed choice: the influence of human, vehicle, and road](#)).

The transition between a rural and an urban area presents a specific problem especially on main roads that pass through villages. Here, too, one has often been driving at a higher speed for some time. What is more, the required reduction in speed that usually accompanies an urban-rural transition is often insufficiently 'visible' or 'noticeable' to the motorist. Specific measures to mark this transition clearly can result in substantial safety improvements. These measures should be supplemented with physical and visual speed limiters, for instance a gate construction, and preferably urban measures should also be applied (see CROW, 1999).

Continued police surveillance on top of it all?

When all the above measures have been carried out adequately, we may assume that unintended offences against the speed limit will largely have disappeared. But for as long as motorists can ultimately determine their speed themselves, a group will always remain that regularly exceeds the limit deliberately. Police surveillance and control remains of the utmost importance to reach this group. As long as the previously mentioned measures have not been carried out sufficiently, more police surveillance based on general deterrence and prevention will be needed. The fact sheet [Police surveillance and driving speed](#) discusses the how and why of police surveillance and the various methods and their effects.

What is the role of education and public information?

Education and public information are a support and a prerequisite for each of the measures discussed above. Road users must understand that speeding is a serious problem; they must understand why measures are taken; they must understand how the speed limit system works. The possibilities for education and information during primary and secondary school having a direct influence on later driving speed are limited. With primary school pupils, it is practically impossible to influence the driving speed behaviour that they will display at a later age. However, it may be possible to introduce the subject of speed to the children with the idea that they will address their parents about it. For pupils of secondary schools, the moped training is a good moment for paying attention to the consequences of driving (too) fast.

In the driver training the future drivers have to learn all about safe speeds. This includes the limits themselves, why speed limits are necessary, adjusting speeds to the circumstances, et cetera. They must also learn to anticipate and to adjust speed in time. After the driving test has been passed, there are still some possibilities for education, among other things through the Educational Measure Behaviour. This measure is intended for road users who deliberately engage in risky behaviour and as a result create danger for themselves and for others. This measure also considers serious speeding dangerous behaviour (see SWOV fact sheet [Rehabilitation courses for road users](#)).

Finally, public information can be used to explain the purpose, necessity, and effect of speed measures such as police surveillance and speed humps. In addition, information helps to increase awareness of the effects of driving (too) fast. This was also the purpose of the 2010 Dutch national campaign *Keep to the speed limit*. The discrepancy between individual advantages and social disadvantages is a problem in information about speed. Information is effective mainly in combination with other measures, particularly in combination with police surveillance (see SWOV fact sheet [Public information about road safety](#)).

What is the position of Intelligent Speed Assistance (ISA)?

Intelligent Speed Assistance (ISA) is a system that uses information exchange between surroundings and vehicle. The vehicle receives information from the surroundings about the desirable or mandatory speed limit and reacts to it. The term ISA is often immediately associated with a completely intervening system. However, ISA is a collective term for various systems, among which are systems that only inform and give warnings.

An ISA system can work with static speed limits, together with or without the location-dependent (advised) speed limits. It will also be increasingly possible to work with dynamic speed limits. Large safety benefits are expected from ISA, especially from the more intervening systems. The technical problems have almost been solved. Informing/warning systems, like SpeedAlert, are already available. At this moment, mainly legal and political considerations are delaying the large-scale application of more intervening systems. In the *Strategic Plan Road Safety 2008-2010* of the Ministry of Transport (VenW, 2008) the possibility is presented of an obligated ISA for road users who repeatedly exceed the speed limits. For more information on ISA see the fact sheet [Intelligent Speed Assistance \(ISA\)](#).

Conclusion

It is abundantly clear that there are many ways of limiting the road safety effects of speeding. However, an integrated, step by step approach is required. It all begins with determining a safe speed limit which matches a road's function and, often dependent on its function, the traffic composition. Furthermore, the road image must also support this limit, so that the road user finds it credible. Physical speed limiters will be necessary at locations where a low speed is crucial, for example in residential areas, near schools, at pedestrian crossings, and at intersections. It must also be ensured that the driver always knows the actual speed limit. These measures are expected to put a stop to most of the unintentional speeding offences. To reach the remaining group of deliberate speed offenders, police surveillance remains essential, at least for the time being. Education and public information are supportive for all this and have to inform the road users about the dangers of driving (too) fast and the positive effects of the various measures.

There are various technical developments that can make speed management more effective and more credible within a reasonably short time. For example, there are already systems, usually linked to a navigation system, that show the local speed limit inside the vehicle. The possibilities of using dynamic speed limits that take the local weather and traffic conditions into account are increasing rapidly available. Finally, much is expected of ISA, not only of systems that make speeding offences impossible, but also of systems that inform and send a warning when the limit is being exceeded.

Publications and sources (SWOV reports in Dutch have an English summary)

Aarts, L.T. & Nes, C.N. van (2007). [Assistance for speed policy aimed at safety and credibility; First initiative for a decision-support instrument](#). (In Dutch) D-2007-2. Stichting Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek Verkeersveiligheid SWOV, Leidschendam.

Aarts, L., Nes, N. van, Donkers, E., Heijden, D. van der, Harwood, D.W. & García García, A. (2010). *Towards safe speeds and credible speed limits*. In: [Proceedings of the 4th International Symposium on Highway Geometric Design](#), 1-5 June 2010, Valencia, Spain.

CROW (1999). [Bebouwdekomgrenzen: aanbevelingen voor locatie en inrichting](#). CROW kenniscentrum voor verkeer, vervoer en infrastructuur, Ede.

CROW (2004). [Aanbevelingen voor Verkeersvoorzieningen binnen de bebouwde kom \(ASVV\)](#). CROW kenniscentrum voor verkeer, vervoer en infrastructuur, Ede.

Oei, Hway-liem (2001). [Veiligheidsconsequenties van intelligente snelheidsadaptatie ISA](#) (In Dutch). R-2001-11. Stichting Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek Verkeersveiligheid SWOV, Leidschendam.,

OECD/ECMT (2006). [Speed management](#). OECD/ECMT Joint Transport Research Committee, Paris.

Schagen, I.N.L.G. van, Wegman, F.C.M. & Roszbach, R. (2004). [Safe and credible speed limits: A strategic exploration](#) (in Dutch). R-2004-12. Stichting Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek Verkeersveiligheid SWOV, Leidschendam.

Wegman, F.C.M. & Aarts, L.T. (red.) (2006). [Advancing Sustainable Safety; National Road Safety Outlook for 2005-2020](#). SWOV Institute for Road Safety Research, Leidschendam. [In English]

Wilmink, I., Schreuder, M. & Stoelhorst, H. (2010). *Effecten van de proeven met een dynamische snelheidslimiet op de Nederlandse autosnelwegen*. In: [Nationaal Verkeerskundecongres 2010 3 november 2010, Rotterdam; Bijdragen en presentaties](#). CROW kenniscentrum voor verkeer, vervoer en infrastructuur, Ede.

VenW (2008). [Strategisch Plan Verkeersveiligheid 2008-2020: Van, voor en door iedereen](#). Ministerie van Verkeer en Waterstaat, 's-Gravenhage.